

Proposed N'APA Bylaws

I. Preamble (as is; see AA 35)

II. Mailing Deadlines shall be the 15th of March, June, Sept. and Dec.

III. Membership in the NFFF is required of all members of N'APA. The OE of N'APA shall have a certification of NFFF membership from the NFFF Secretary-Treasurer on behalf of each N'APA member between Jan. 1 and Mar. 1 of each year. All N'APA members not certified as NFFF members shall be dropped as of 1 March, receiving only the Official Organ of the March mailing, which shall contain notice of their being dropped for non-payment of NFFF dues.

IV. Elections for OE of N'APA shall be held in September each year. Candidates shall file with the current OE between 15 June and 1 September. Ballots shall be distributed to the members with the Sept. mailing and shall be due by 1 December. The NFFF Directorate Representative shall act as Teller unless he himself is a candidate, in which case he shall appoint a non-candidate Teller. The Teller shall publish the results and a list of voters in the December mailing. The new OE shall take office as of the posting of the December mailing.

V. Should the OE fail to maintain his NFFF membership by renewing before 15 February the NFFF Sec-Treas. shall remind him of his status, with carbon to the NFFF Directorate Representative. Should he still not renew by 1 March, the Sec.-Treas. shall notify him that he is dropped, and his duties revert to the Directorate Representative, who shall notify all members immediately, and arrange to put out the March mailing.

VI. Other than the above, the OE of N'APA shall make all operational rules and transact all business of the Alliance.

THE ABOVE DRAFT of By-laws for N'APA will constitute my platform for OE. They safeguard the association between the NFFF and N'APA, they limit the OE and give checks and balances against any excesses of the OE, yet they allow for mobility in procedures. (For instance, he can change the number of copies required, drop dues to \$1 if he wants, etc.)

They also make the Directorate Representative stay on his toes -- something that has not always been done. (Frankly, I don't know who the current D.R. is; there was none listed with the officers in the recent TNFF.)

If elected, I shall put this through the next mailing for ratification.

RACHEE

27

Published by Bruce Pelz,
Box 100, 308 Westwood Plaza
Los Angeles, Calif 90024

postmailed to N'APA 35,
February 1968
IncuNebulous Pub 632

6 February 1968

FEB 13 RECD

SORRY ABOUT THAT CHIEF... I intended to have this in to Don Miller in time for the 30 January postmailing, but I got caught up in too many other things, so I shall postmail this myself.

I don't quite understand the problem Dom Niller has been having finding out about people's N'APA dues, and the approximate size of the Treasury I am holding. Admittedly, I didn't answer his query between the time I got the December ALLIANCE AMATEUR and the time I got the January one, but doesn't anyone else out there have an accessible copy of ALLIANCE AMATEUR 32?

In any case:

Balance as of 15 March 1967.....		84.71
Postage, Mailing 32.....	6.50	78.21
Envelopes.....	2.40	75.81
ALLIANCE AMATEUR 32.....	.85	74.96
Misc. expenses.....	.65	74.31

THEREFORE: I have \$74.31 to turn over after the election.

AS FOR DUES: Taking the roster on ALLIANCE AMATEUR 35¹/₂, and applying the information from #32, we get the following people owing dues by the following mailings:

- Berry.....34 (paid \$1 to Miller; should now be due by Mlg.38)
- Carlson....35 (" " " " " " " " " " 39)
- Carson.....33
- Couches....35 (for Chris & Lesleigh)
- Hayes.....34
- Ladonko....39
- Luttrell...36
- Meskys....36
- Murray....35
- Patrick....35
- Pelz.....35
- Rolfe.....35
- Romine....35
- Woolston...33 (paid \$1 to Miller; should now be due by Mlg.37)

My dues will be sent with my ballot to Janie.

JANIE LAMB PLEASE NOTE: Ladonko is eligible to vote; his N'APA dues are paid through 1968.

THE PROPOSED ~~ØØW\$ZTZVZØ~~ BY-LAWS overleaf are still my platform for Official Editor. It might, however, be a good idea to add to Article IV.: "A plurality is sufficient to elect." This would eliminate the problem currently facing us, wherein a mis-interpretation of the By-Laws will demand that the OE-elect receive a majority of the membership votes -- i.e., 14 out of the 27 on the roster -- instead of merely a plurality of the votes cast. Red Tape is the surest thing to use to strangle an organization, and the idea of a double run-off is appalling. (You could've used an Australian Ballot, Don, which gives an automatic run-off -- TAFF is using it.)