Since it speins the habit to bocloud the mind with doubtful apmor isms. I'll start off by mentioning that you can fool most of the fans most of the time, while there are some of them who refuse to be fooled at all. A common method of fooling is to produce vague personal oninions dressed up ad criticism with high-falutin argments. I sgcape any charge of this by criticising the 'criticism', not stories.
in example was the critique by Ted Camell in TTh. I telre it Ted's a youg reader, one of what Redi calls 'new rans', since his name wasn't in the recent list of Fandom's GPO and hasn't appeared since. This is born out by uncertainty in mentioning authors, eto., and jumping between an intimats 'Bob' and a needlessly formal 'Ir. Campbell.' He is also fooled into believing that Heinlein writer psychologieal yarns on politics. Now of the few Heinlein stories I have read there havo been several paychological dashes, which is in itself commendable. Fut the psycholocy has boen of a tiro, and the results screaningly funny
"Sixth Column" contained one of the funniest dashes. You may remombor the fellov Calhoun. (?) had been making hinself cenerally a nuigance, was a brainy devil, and would be difficult for tho author to dispose of. So Heinlein had hirn go loopy and imafine he gas the god lotas (whom ho knew to be hypothetical). This was directuy comtotary to what small amount-ow chafacter Calhoun hac beor given, and if anyono doeon't think so I'll be glad to wruo it out psychologically.

On both count: - politics and psychology - I thenk yotun Carnell llas been fooled by tho belief he mentions hinsolf". Wamely, RH is 'over his head. Crgo, Pis is an admirablo guy. But Einsteins relativity thoory is 'way over my hoac, and that's no roason to say Einsteins an anazt ingly clever chap and relativity is the cat s pyamas: on the contrary, until such time as I an able to understand Einstein mathematically, its all the more reason to regard his theory with a fair scepticism. I hava rear two Smith storics (and heve no intention of reading more) and an proatrate in admiration of Ted's comparison betwoon Hoinlain politiec and mith galazios.... though maybe not for the some reason:

Sam Youd put what I gas thinking more succincty than I could, when he said 'Probably thoy think they're getting sugar-contod politios now, instead of sugar-coatod science.' Verily so

As you knof, I was objocting to Heinlein long bofore the other ehaps thought of it. I thini heis got a lot in him - much more talont than most of ther. But he's doubly annoying because he never produces his best. "They" in UWKYN contained a peach of an idea which could hove made an extromely fascinating fantasy yarn; yot Heinloin just toyod about with it for a few pages and lot it drop. Oriminal. . Quite naturally Campbell, who is a very astuta editor indord, will play up good and novel points for the recders. But there ars poor points as well.

But despite his insistonce on fallacfous criticisms (count hig lauporbs'a and superlatives) I think thore's probably a bright futuro a head of your frined Tod Carnell. He has enthusiase. Sore day, I should
n't bo Eurpripen, notll bo a real topanotioh fan. And don't forget the bocr, don't Porget the berr , For my Aut Jobiska says ovoryone mows that a Pobble is better without his toes.

PRARE No hed better oxplain the cocopt no reaponsibility for any actions ronulting from publinhed nattor. And also that tho oditor doos not nocesbrily weree mith the contonts of aid mator. (I'll say that ho don't, and then sono!) Ir mo nero vory lowbrow mo might endocuour to break up the continuity of those coments by insorting our om, porsonal vions on vorious atatornonta; but no, we letthin soy his cay - unconrorod, you'll note, D.. Now 10 leave you to ficht it out monget yourselvor, leds, in soncono olafs hoot! And Tod, I'm looking For you in future on Hoindoin's sido in this affair -- so to it boys!
laybe, if you aro at oll obeorvent, you aro wondoring why wo gant this discusbion corriod on in someono olacs shoet? Sowo of you probebly mon; and if you don't, I think that you vill find out if you ro-reve your FIDO. So... Grootines from tho Novy, fona; Hoil and Farowozl --TI. ACKis io at an end. To morican readera - thanke fanzino (or panag) olitors tho have sent, no copios of thoir zinos in the pact, prosurably in oxchonso ior this. If, in futuro, you have a socre copy of the applo of your oye, and hothine to do ith it, it ill otill bo wolcono at the 010 adruse. . I'Il heve a darn godd try to repay you pollow sone-

liy, my: Wat that an ratomici? Thea!
 inptabor ATOUNDING has not yot arrivod; 7cll to goy that wo hovo noth ing, is $r$ chor incorroct, we hovo a copy or Fall'sl PLAET, this howevor wo hove lont out vithout tacking it - tho paul covor in onoughto vakon Fvor the croctost Pculito from has alocp. Thon wo hevo now. 150 \& 151 of
 itom or two that nay be of intcroct to you lod. UNHONY CRLDS firct focture novel is a do dap-Pret colleboration, "The Land of Unrocinon". Doc Sith's coquol to "Gray Lonomat" is to oppocs ac four-part sericl atating in Novgacr Aspoundig - Ionth 103,000 mords. Frod Pohl has
 by ildon H. Morton. COIEIECE \& FUTURE FICTION combino with the Octobor igauc. L. Ron Hubbord hac roccivod a spociclict's comiderion in the US Fovy. 中Thanks, Will, and EH; I dofinitoly won't do it arain!)
Sou I roprint como more? Thmes, I ill-proconting a couplo of piocos of poary that oro lighty, rolatica to the proant jituotion.

Reprintod rrom July 41 And fron anothor; original, sourco,
RAIV-ATIC (thanke Con), c littlo jinglo by Archor Cucp:
I'd rothor hov scifnce thon fiction, I'd methor havo plota than how diction, And I'll bo for an lone an a con, ind that's till I': cuaght by conkoription?
 Thañes vory nuoh for tio duplicutine a intmibutine, fiehacl.

